

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

Helen Mojtehed, \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff,

v.

Chrisian Durante dba and aka Durante  
Insurance and Financial Services also dba and  
aka Durante Agency, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00402-JCM-DJA

**Order**

Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to extend discovery deadlines. (ECF No. 38). Plaintiff explains that her counsel proposed a 75-day extension to Defendant. Defendant countered with a 45-day extension. Plaintiff's counsel offered a compromise of a 60-day extension but has yet to hear back from Defendant. The Court will grant a 60-day extension. *See Little v. City of Seattle*, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988) ("[t]he district court has wide discretion in controlling discovery").

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion to extend (ECF No. 38) is **granted in part and denied in part**. The following deadlines shall govern discovery:

Discovery cutoff: February 9, 2024

Dispositive motions: March 11, 2024

Joint pretrial order: April 9, 2024<sup>1</sup>

DATED: November 22, 2023

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>1</sup> Under Local Rule 26-1(b)(5), if dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.